

REMARKS

This Supplemental Amendment is submitted to correct typos introduced into the Claims by the Response of June 6, 2008. Claims 1-3, 6, 12-42 and 47-56 are pending with claims 1, 13, 23, 35, 41, 48 and 56 being independent. Claims 2-3, 12-22, 24-34, 36-40, 42, 47 and 49-55 amended by this response. No new matter is included. Applicants respectfully request that these amendments be entered.

I Typos in Response of June 6, 2008

Due to an error in automatic claim number references, several of the dependent claims were listed as depending from a non-existent Claim 0 in the Response filed on June 6, 2008 ("the Response"). This supplemental amendment corrects these typographical errors by amending the effected claims to depend from the parent claims from which they depended prior to the Response. Applicants thank Examiner Zhong for calling Applicants' representative, MacLane Key, to point out the typos and to recommend correction by this Supplemental Amendment.

II M.P.E.P. §707.07(j)

M.P.E.P. §707.07(j) states:

"...If the examiner is satisfied after the search has been completed that patentable subject matter has been disclosed and the record indicates that the applicant intends to claim such subject matter, the examiner may note in the Office action that certain aspects or features of the patentable invention have not been claimed and that if properly claimed such claims may be given favorable consideration..."

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner make Applicants aware of any subject matter disclosed by the present application which the Examiner believes is patentable. By doing so, the Examiner would help expedite prosecution by enabling

Applicants to amend the present claims or draft new claims directed to such subject matter.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendment and remarks it is submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the claims have been overcome. Reconsideration and reexamination of the above Application is requested. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully requests that the pending claims be allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Type of Response: SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT
Application Number: 10/684,138
Attorney Docket Number: 306385.01
Filing Date: October 10, 2003

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicants hereby request any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50-0463.

Respectfully submitted,
Microsoft Corporation

Date: June 17, 2008

By: /MacLane C. Key/

MacLane C. Key, Reg. No.: 48,250
Attorney for Applicants
Direct telephone (703) 647-6566
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

June 17, 2008
Date

/Noemi Tovar/
Noemi Tovar

Type of Response: SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT
Application Number: 10/684,138
Attorney Docket Number: 306385.01
Filing Date: October 10, 2003